



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/655,387 | 04/06/2004 | Peter V. Radatti | 45-03 | 7299 |
| 7590 02/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Mr. Peter V. R CYBERSOFT, 1 | | PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J | | |
| 1508 Butler Pike | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Conshohocken, PA 19428 | | | 2137 | |
| | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|---|-------------|
| | | 10/655,387 | RADATTI, PETER | R V. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | • |
| | | Michael Pyzocha | 2137 | |
| | - The MAILING DATE of this communica | | eet with the correspondence ac | idress |
| THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, aply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ration. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to beck | nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered times b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | n <u>06 April 2004</u> . | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | ☑ This action is non-final. | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice | · · | * | e merits is |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the apple 14a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction | vithdrawn from consideration | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | |
| 9)🖾 ¯ | The specification is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | • |
| • | Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>06 A<i>pril</i> 2004</u> is/ | | • | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | • | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by | , | | ` ' |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International | cuments have been received cuments have been received he priority documents have l | I. I in Application No Deen received in this National | Stage |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action for | or a list of the certified copies | s not received. | |
| • | | | | |
| Attachment | (c) | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Inter | view Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date <u>09032003</u> . | 948) Pape 0/SB/08) 5) Notice | er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTG | O-152) |

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: paragraph [001] the "xx/xx/xx" should read "02/03/1999".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 18-19, 21-25, 34, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tso et al (US 6088803).

As per claims 1, 3, 9, 11, 18, 34, and 36, Tso et al discloses an apparatus and method including a protocol parser; a protocol scanner; and, a proscribed code scanner comprised of a

Art Unit: 2137

scanning means and an indicator whereby said protocol parser intercepts instant messaging or peer-to-peer code on a communications channel and transmits said code to said proscribed code scanner through said protocol scanner (see column 6 lines 10-24 where the parser of Tso et al performs the functions of both the protocol parser and protocol scanner).

As per claims 2 and 19, Tso et al discloses a translation means whereby said translation means translates said code to authorized program parameters (see column 6 lines 10-24).

As per claim 4, 6, 23, Tso et al discloses the proscribed code scanner further comprises a scanning means and an indicator means and provide an indication of the presence is scanning finds proscribed code (see column 3 lines 39-54).

As per claims 7 and 25, Tso et al discloses the proscribed code scanner comprises a malicious code scanner (see column 3 lines 39-54).

As per claims 8, 10, and 24, Tso et al discloses the protocol parser further comprises a configuration means for configuring interception parameters (see column 6 lines 10-24).

As per claims 21-22, Tso et al discloses returning said code to a communication channel if said indicator is negative (see column 3 lines 55-65).

Art Unit: 2137

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5, 12, 15-17, 20, 26-29, 32-33, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso et al as applied to claims 1 and 18 above, and further in view of Johnson (US 5682428).

As per claims 12, 17, 26, and 35, Tso et al fails to disclose decrypting the code.

However, Johnson discloses decrypting data (see column 27 lines 23-56).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Johnson's method of decryption in Tso et al's system of code scanning.

Motivation to do so would have been to be able to reference and manipulate previously encrypted data (see Johnson column 27 lines 23-56).

Art Unit: 2137

As per claims 5 and 20, the modified Tso et al and Johnson system discloses a certification means (see Johnson column 24 line 52 through column 25 line 8).

As per claims 15-16, 27-29, and 32, the modified Tso et al and Johnson system discloses encrypting the code if the indication of a prescribed code is negative (see Johnson column 27 lines 23-56).

As per claim 33, the modified Tso et al and Johnson system discloses a separate system inserted in said communications channel, and with at least one of said steps of intercepting said code; decrypting said code; scanning said code for the presence of proscribed code, and providing an indicator for the presence of said proscribed code, occurring on said separate machine (see Tso et al and Johnson as applied to previous claims).

7. Claims 13-14 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Tso et al and Johnson system as applied to claims 12 and 26 above, and further in view of Elgamal et al (US 6389534).

As per claims 13-14 and 30-31, the modified Tso et al and Johnson system fails to disclose the use of SSL or S/MIME encryption.

Art Unit: 2137

However, Elgamal et al discloses the use of these encryption techniques (see column 4 lines 15-29).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Elgamal et al's methods of encryption to perform the encryption of the modified Tso et al and Johnson system.

Motivation to do so would have been to allow for the encryption suitable for each market (see Elgamal et al column 4 lines 15-29).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hypponen et al (US 6577920 B1) discloses a method for virus scanning with a parser, Horstmann (US 6009525 A) discloses a method of decryption and re-encryption, Schneider et al (US 6178505 B1) discloses a secure document delivery system with decryption and re-encryption, Nemovicher (US 20020007453 A1) discloses a virus scanner which decrypts and re-encrypts email, and Ko (US 6697950 B1) discloses a parsing virus scanner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner

Art Unit: 2137

Page 7

can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJP

ANDREW CALDWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Coldwell